



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
[www.uspto.gov](http://www.uspto.gov)

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/577,627	04/28/2006	Derek Nigel John Hart	DAVI257.004APC	9936
20995	7590	03/18/2010	EXAMINER	
KNOBBE MARTENS OLSON & BEAR LLP			SKELDING, ZACHARY S	
2040 MAIN STREET			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
FOURTEENTH FLOOR			1644	
IRVINE, CA 92614				

  

NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
03/18/2010	ELECTRONIC

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

jcartee@kmob.com  
efiling@kmob.com  
2ros@kmob.com

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/577,627	HART ET AL.	

  

<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
ZACHARY SKELDING	1644	

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(1) ZACHARY SKELDING. (3) \_\_\_\_\_.  
 (2) Raymond Smith. (4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 12 March 2010

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant     Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes     No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

**Part III.**

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Zachary Skelding/  
 Examiner, Art Unit 1644

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: In a phone conversation around March 1, 2009, described the reasoning of the instant Office Action, which I had already written to completion, to applicant's representative and informed him that an alternative to me sending out the aforementioned Office Action would be for applicant to authorize certain examiner's amendments which would put the claims into condition for allowance. Asked applicant's representative to present these options to applicant and get back to me by March 12, 2010. In the phone conversation of March 12, 2010 applicant's representative stated that applicant would prefer to have the Office Action rather than making amendments to the claims at this time..